

Audit questionnaire of post-mortem reports in cases considered and included in NRAD

CASE	NUMBER:	PATHOLOGIST:
1	In determining the cause of death, was the PM report useful?	☐ Yes ☐ No
2	Was the PM report of sufficient standard to base conclusions on the cause of death?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Based	on the information in the PM report, in your opinion:	
3	Was the death due to asthma?	Yes No Unable to conclude
4	Please list the details on the report (other than pathological findings) that helped you make your decision: (eg past history, details of terminal event)	i. ii. iii iv.
5	Please list the pathological features that helped you make your decision:	i. ii. iii iv.
6	How would you have completed the death certificate on the basis of the PM findings?	1a. 1b. 1c. 1d.
CASE	NUMBER:	PATHOLOGIST:
CASE	NUMBER: In determining the cause of death, was the PM report useful?	PATHOLOGIST:
	In determining the cause of death, was the PM report	
2	In determining the cause of death, was the PM report useful? Was the PM report of sufficient standard to base	☐ Yes ☐ No
1 2 Based	In determining the cause of death, was the PM report useful? Was the PM report of sufficient standard to base conclusions on the cause of death?	☐ Yes ☐ No
1 2 Based	In determining the cause of death, was the PM report useful? Was the PM report of sufficient standard to base conclusions on the cause of death? I on the information in the PM report, in your opinion:	Yes No
1 2 Based	In determining the cause of death, was the PM report useful? Was the PM report of sufficient standard to base conclusions on the cause of death? I on the information in the PM report, in your opinion: Was the death due to asthma? Please list the details on the report (other than pathological findings) that helped you make your	Yes No Yes No Yes No Unable to conclude i. ii. iii.